# Topological and operator K-theory

Alex Taylor The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

#### Abstract

Using the  $K_0$  group of a compact Hausdorff space to motivate the definition of the  $K^0$  group of a  $C^*$ -algebra, we introduce operator K-theory as a noncommutative analogue of topological K-theory.

### Contents

|--|

1

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

2 Operator K-theory

## 1 Topological K-theory

Given a locally compact Hausdorff space X, what are all of the possible vector bundles over X? A reasonable approach to this question is to construct a group  $K^0(X)$  consisting of isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X, which might encode some information about which vector bundles X admits.

First of all, suppose that X is compact. Let  $E \to X$  be a vector bundle over X. We let [E] denote the equivalence class of vector bundles isomorphic to E, and consider the set of isomorphism classes:

 $V(X) = \{ [E] : E = \text{vector bundle over } X \}$ 

Note that V(X) is a commutative monoid with respect the operation of direct sum of vector bundles over X. Namely, given two vector bundles  $p: E \to X$  and  $q: F \to X$  we define their direct sum by

$$E \oplus F = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} E_x \oplus F_x$$

and

$$p \oplus q : E \oplus F \to X$$
$$(e, f) \mapsto p(e) = q(f)$$

so that  $E \oplus F \to X$  is another vector bundle over X. The identity element of this monoid is the rank-0 trivial bundle

$$[0] = [X \times \{x\}]$$

for any  $x \in X$ . Unfortunately, V(X) is not a group as it lacks inverses.

#### Example 1.

- (a) When  $X = \{x\}$  is a single point, we have  $V(X) \simeq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .
- (b) Letting  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  refer to real and complex vector bundles respectively, we have  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $V_{\mathbb{R}}(S^1) \simeq (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

In order to turn V(X) into a group we will use the Grothendieck group construction. The general idea is to turn a commutative semigroup into a group in a "minimal" way. Given a commutative semigroup H and a subsemigroup  $K \subseteq H$ , define an equivalence relation on the product  $H \times K$  by

$$(h_1, k_1) \sim (h_2, k_2) \iff (h_1 k_2) x = (h_2 k_1) x$$
 for some  $x \in K$ 

Here we are thinking of the pair  $(h,k) \in H \times K$  as a fraction h/k, so that, heuristically speaking,  $(h_1,k_1) \sim (h_2,k_2)$  holds if and only if  $h_1/k_1 = h_2/k_2$ . Then we consider the set of equivalence classes

$$[H][K]^{-1} = (H \times K) / \sim = \{ [(h,k)] \}$$

and note that this is a commutative monoid with respect to the multiplication inherited from H:

$$[(h_1, k_1)] \cdot [(h_2, k_2)] = [(h_1h_2, k_1k_2)]$$

where the identity element is

$$1 = \left[ (x, x) \right]$$

for any  $x \in K$ . The point of this construction is that, in this quotient space, the ordered pairs of elements of K are invertible: for any  $k_1, k_2 \in K$  we have

$$[(k_1, k_2)][(k_2, k_1)] = [(k_1k_2, k_2k_1)] = 1$$

which is to say that  $[(k_1, k_2)]^{-1} = [(k_2, k_1)]$ . In essence, the commutative monoid  $[H][K]^{-1}$  is obtained from H by inverting the elements of K; therefore, in the special case that H = K, we obtain an abelian group

$$G(H) = [H][H]^{-1}$$

called the **Grothendieck group** of H. We note that G(H) is the "minimal" group extending the semigroup H in the sense that any homomorphism  $\phi : H \to S$  of semigroups (which sends H to invertible elements of S) extends uniquely to a homomorphism  $\psi : G(H) \to S$ . An immediate consequence is that G is a covariant functor from the category of commutative semigroups to the category of abelian groups:

{commutative semigroups}  $\xrightarrow{G}$  {abelian groups}  $\phi: H_1 \to H_2 \mapsto G(\phi): G(H_1) \to G(H_2)$ 

Example 2.

- (a)  $G(\mathbb{N},+) = (\mathbb{Z},+)$
- (b)  $G(\mathbb{N}, \cdot) = (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \cdot)$

Now we return to the situation where X is a compact Hausdorff space and V(X) is the commutative monoid of isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X. In this case we use the Grothendieck group construction to define the group

$$K^{0}(X) = G(V(X)) = \{ [E] - [F] : E, F = \text{vector bundles over } X \}$$

which consists of all formal differences of isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X. Notice first of all that  $K^0$  is a *contravariant* functor from the category of compact spaces to the category of abelian groups because V is contravariant and G is covariant. First, V takes any continuous map  $\phi : X \to Y$  between compact spaces and sends it to the map  $\phi^* : V(Y) \to V(X)$  given by

$$\phi^* : [E \to Y] \mapsto [\phi^* E \to X]$$

where  $\phi^* E \to X$  denotes the pull-back bundle induced by  $\phi$ . Then, as  $\phi^*$  is a morphism in the category of commutative monoids, the G functor turns it a morphism

$$G(\phi^*): G(V(Y)) \to G(V(X))$$

in the category of abelian groups. In other words this is the morphism  $K^0(\phi)$ :  $K^0(X) \to K^0(Y)$ , which we shall henceforth denote by  $\phi^*$ .

**Fact 1** (Homotopy invariance). Let X, Y be compact spaces and  $f, g : X \to Y$  continuous maps. If f and g are homotopic then  $f^* = g^* : K^0(X) \to K^0(Y)$ .

**Example 3.** For any contractible space X we have  $K^0(X) \simeq K^0(\{x_0\})$  by homotopy invariance, and therefore

$$K^{0}(X) \simeq K^{0}(\{x_{0}\}) = G(V(\{x_{0}\})) = G(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

In particular, for any nonempty compact space X, the function  $p: X \to \{x_0\}$ induces an injective morphism  $p^*: K^0(\{x_0\}) \to K^0(X)$ , and therefore  $K^0(X)$ always contains a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We define the reduced  $K^0$ -group of X by modding out by any one of these copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\widetilde{K}^0(X) = K^0(X)/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In order to get a working theory out of this K-group it's necessary to define  $K^0$  for non-compact spaces too. For any locally compact Hausdorff space X we let  $X^+$  denote the one-point compactification of X and then define

$$K^0(X) = \widetilde{K}^0(X^+)$$

i.e. the reduced  $K^0$ -group of the one-point compactification of X. For the remainder of this section we will assume X is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Given any closed subspace  $Y \subseteq X$ , the sequence

$$Y \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} X \stackrel{q}{\to} X/Y$$

induces a short exact sequence of  $K^0$ -groups,

$$K^0(X/Y) \xrightarrow{q^*} K^0(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^0(Y)$$

given by the composition  $[E] \mapsto [q^*E] \mapsto [i^*q^*E]$ . Moreover, for each  $n \ge 1$  we define the *n*th K-group as

$$K^n(X) = K^0(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and so the same argument gives another short exact sequence

$$K^n(X/Y) \xrightarrow{q^*} K^n(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^n(Y)$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . For each *n* one can construct a connecting homomorphism  $\delta : K^n(X) \to K^{n-1}(Y)$  and thereby get an infinite long exact sequence of *K*-groups which terminates in  $K^0(Y)$ . In fact, the sequence is actually cyclical:

Fact 2 (Bott periodicity). For any locally compact Hausdorff space Z we have

$$K^{n+2}(Z) \simeq K^n(Z)$$
 for every  $n \ge 0$ 

when complex vector bundles are considered. Furthermore, we have

 $K^{n+8}(Z) \simeq K^n(Z)$  for every  $n \ge 0$ 

when real vector bundles are considered.

This beautiful fact reduces the study of K-groups to the study of the two groups  $K^0(X)$  and  $K^1(X) = K^0(X \times \mathbb{R})$  (when considering complex vector bundles over X).

## 2 Operator K-theory

In this section we want to explain the following common description of operator K-theory:

Operator K-theory is a non-commutative analogue of topological K-theory for  $C^*$ -algebras. (Wikipedia)

We will conclude by explaining the connection between several equivalent definitions of the  $K^0$ -group of a  $C^*$ -algebra.

Suppose we have a compact Hausdorff space X. There is a one-to-one correspondence between vector bundles over X and finitely- generated projective modules over C(X) given by the functor  $\Gamma$  sending any vector bundle E to the C(X)-module  $\Gamma(E)$  of sections of E. Indeed, given any vector bundle  $E \to X$ , by Swan's theorem we can find a vector bundle  $F \to X$  such that  $E \oplus F \simeq X \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a trivial bundle. Therefore

$$\Gamma(E) \oplus \Gamma(F) \simeq \Gamma(E \oplus F)$$
$$\simeq \Gamma(X \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$\simeq C(X)^n$$

where the latter is a finitely-generated free module over C(X). Thus, we have shown that  $\Gamma(E)$  is a finitely-generated projective module over C(X). It's not difficult to show that  $\Gamma$  gives a one-to-one correspondence by constructing an explicit "inverse" which associates to any such module M a vector bundle  $\Psi(M) \to X$  such that  $\Gamma(\Psi(M)) = M$ . This observation gives us the following interpretation of the group  $K^0(X)$ : **Fact 3.** Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then  $K^0(X)$  can be identified with the group of formal differences [M]-[N] of isomorphism classes of finitely-generated projective modules over C(X).

Now for any commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, the Gelfand-Naimark theorem gives us an isometric \*-isomorphism  $A \simeq C(X)$  for some compact space X (recall that X consists of characters on A, and the \*-isomorphism is given by  $A \to C(X)$ ,  $a \mapsto \hat{a}$  where  $\hat{a}(\phi) = \phi(a)$  for every character  $\phi$ ). Therefore, it makes sense to define the  $K_0$  group of the  $C^*$ -algebra A by the prescription

$$K_0(A) = K^0(X).$$

Thus by Fact 3, we have a natural generalization to the non-commutative case: for any unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, let  $K_0(A)$  be the group of formal differences of isomorphism classes [M] - [N] of finitely-generated projective modules over A. In other words, if M(A) denotes the monoid of isomorphism classes of finitelygenerated projective A-modules, then the  $K_0$ -group of A is the Grothendieck group

$$K_0(A) = G(M(A)).$$

This is why the operator K-theory is often described as a non-commutative version of topological K-theory.

The definition of  $K_0(A)$  we've taken here arises naturally from the topological *K*-theory group  $K^0(X)$ , but it's not always the most useful definition in practice. The group  $K_0(A)$  can be realized in several other equivalent ways, which are often more concrete.

1. Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and define the matrix algebra of A as

$$M_{\infty}(A) = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} M_n(A)$$

and recall that two idempontents  $p, q \in M_{\infty}(A)$  are orthogonal if pq = qp = 0. In this case it makes sense to define their orthogonal sum  $p \oplus q \in M_{\infty}(A)$ . We say that two idempotents p and q are equivalent if they are similar in the matrix algebra, i.e.  $apa^{-1} = q$  for some invertible  $a \in A$ . In this case we write  $p \sim q$ .

Consider the set of equivalence classes of projections in the matrix algebra:

$$V_1 = \{ [p] : p \in M_{\infty}(A) \text{ idempotent} \}$$

This set is a commutative semigroup with respect to the operation

$$[p] + [q] = [p' \oplus q']$$

where  $p' \perp q'$ ,  $p' \sim p$  and  $q' \sim q$ .

2. By the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction we can find a faithful represention  $A \to \mathbb{B}(H_A)$  of A as bounded operators on some Hilbert space  $H_A$  (this is the GNS representation). Let  $\mathbb{K}(H_A)$  denote the set of compact operators on  $H_A$ , and consider the set of equivalence classes of projections

$$V_2 = \{ [P] : P \in \mathbb{K}(H_A) \text{ projection} \}$$

Once again, this is a commutative semigroup with respect to the same operation of orthogonal sum as above. Just like we did in section 1, we can consider the Grothendieck groups  $G(V_1)$ and  $G(V_2)$ , which consist of formal differences of equivalence classes of idempotents and compact projections, respectively. Then

$$K_0(A) \simeq G(V_1) \simeq G(V_2)$$

so we have three equivalent realizations of the  $K_0$ -group of A. To see why these are isomorphic, let's write

$$V = \{[M] : M = \text{fgp } A\text{-module}\}$$

so that  $K_0(A) = G(V)$  by definition. We have isomorphisms

$$\phi: V_1 \to V, \ [p] \mapsto [p(A^n)]$$

and

$$\varphi: V_2 \to V, \ [P] \mapsto [P(H_A)]$$

which therefore induce isomorphisms on the respective Grothendieck groups.

# References

[1] N.E. Wegge-Olsen, *K*-Theory and C<sup>\*</sup>-Algebras: A Friendly Approach, Oxford University Press, 1993

- [2] William Arveson, An Invitation to C<sup>\*</sup>-Algebras, Springer, 1976
- [3] Florin Boca's lecture notes